

V. S. Yarunin

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow Region, 141980, Dubna, Russia
E-mail: yarunin@thsun1.jinr.ru

ODD-ODD MAGNETIC INTERACTION AND SPONTANEOUS ORTHO-PARA TRANSITIONS IN MOLECULE AND MOLECULE HYDROGEN ION

Leningrad University Bulletin n 4
Series of Physics and Chemistry, Issue 1, p.16-22
Leningrad, 1966 (in Russian) ¹

1. INTRODUCTION

Molecular H_2 is a mixture of ortho- and para- modifications, a sum of nuclear spins in which are 1 and 0 correspondingly. The equilibrium concentration of these modifications depends on temperature. A mixture at 273 K contains 25% of para-hydrogen, while close to 0 K a mixture contains 100% of para-hydrogen. It was found, that a change of temperature leads to a thermal equilibrium during a long time of relaxation, but this time may be diminished by the use of catalyst. Then, an ortho-para transition in H_2 is possible due to paramagnetic admixture and thermal dissociation and recombination of H_2 molecules at large temperatures 800–1000 K [1]. The review on the ortho- para-hydrogen investigations may be found in [2].

A radiation via the ortho-para transitions is impossible, as a rotating and spin states of nuclei in molecule has a certain permutation symmetries of nuclear space and spin coordinates. Really, the wave function of H_2 is anti-symmetrical relative the exchange the places of atoms. Let us suppose, that the nuclear spin, rotative and oscillative states are separated as

$$\psi_{mol} = \psi_{el}(r)\psi_{el}(\sigma)\psi_{osc}(R_1, R_2)\psi_{rot}(R_1, R_2)\psi_{nuc}(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2), \quad (1)$$

here r and σ are the coordinates and spins of electrons, R_1, R_2 and Σ_1, Σ_2 are the coordinates and spins of nuclei. In this case a para- H_2 state is described by an antisymmetrical nuclear $\psi_{nuc}(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$ wave function and by a symmetrical rotational $\psi_{rot}(R_1, R_2)$, while ortho-state is described by a symmetrical nuclear $\psi_{nuc}(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$ wave function and by an anti-symmetrical rotational $\psi_{rot}(R_1, R_2)$.

Let us consider an electric dipole P matrix elements over the nuclear wave functions

$$\langle \psi_{nuc}^+ | P | \psi_{nuc}^- \rangle, \quad \langle \psi_{nuc}^- | P | \psi_{nuc}^+ \rangle,$$

where ψ^+ and ψ^- are the symmetrical and antisymmetrical states relative a nuclei permutation.

These matrix elements are equal to 0, as they must be invariant accordingly nuclear permutation in molecule and they must change their signers at the same time. Therefore,

¹Translated into English by V.S. Yarunin, 2001.

the ortho-para transitions are impossible between the states (1). This rule is valid for all the two-atomic (with the same atoms) molecules with non-zero spin.

An effort to measure an experimental possibility of a spontaneous ortho-para transition in H_2 was done in [1]. A time for a half-number transition (if exists) was found to be more 1 year.

There is an estimation by Wigner of the radiative ortho-para transition probability in H_2 , unpublished by him but quoted in [1]. Wigner's idea was that an nuclear spin interaction breaks the symmetry of unperturbed states (1). The probability of such a transition was found by Wigner as one per 300 years with as assumption that nuclear perturbation in H_2 may be estimated as 1000^{-1} of ^4He multiplet.

Orto-para transitions in solid H_2 via a magnetic interaction between ortho-molecules were considered in [3].

In this paper the interactions are considered, providing spontaneous radiative transitions for H_2 and, in more details, for H_2^+ .

2. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC INTERACTION AND ORTHO-PARA TRANSITIONS IN H_2

Radiative ortho-para transitions are impossible for H_2 in an approximation (1), but there are interactions in H_2 , that are not taken into account by (1). In order to look for interaction, appropriate for a broken symmetry of (1), let us take the whole Schrödinger equation for H_2

$$(K + V_{el} + V_{nuc-nuc} + V_{el-nuc})\psi = E\psi, \quad (2)$$

where K is a kinetic energy of electrons and nuclei, V_{el} is electron interaction, $V_{nuc-nuc}$ is nuclear interaction and V_{el-nuc} is the electron-nuclear interaction.

If operator $V = V_{el} + V_{nuc-nuc} + V_{el-nuc}$ contains only electrostatic interactions ($V = V(r, R)$), molecular wave function may be taken as (1), so as nuclear motion is slow comparatively electronic and rotational motion is slow comparatively oscillative.

Let us consider magnetic interactions. In this case $V(r, \sigma, R, \Sigma)$ is symmetric relative the nuclear permutation, that means the simultaneous permutation both space and spin nuclear coordinates. Still the odd-odd part V_{aa} may be selected in V , that is antisymmetric over permutation of nuclear space or spin coordinates

$$V = V_{aa} + V_{ss},$$

$$V_{aa} = \frac{1}{4} [V(R_1 R_2 \Sigma_1 \Sigma_2) - V(R_2 R_1 \Sigma_1 \Sigma_2) - V(R_1 R_2 \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1) + V(R_2 R_1 \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1)].$$

An interaction V_{aa} changes a symmetry of nuclear space and nuclear spin states separately. This change gives an opportunity to consider V_{aa} as a perturbation, that makes an optical ortho-para transition in H_2 possible.

Unperturbed states are taken as the eigenfunctions of (2) without magnetic fields in approximation (1)

$$\psi_{para} = \psi_{el}(r)\psi_{el}(\sigma)\psi_{osc}(R_1, R_2)\psi_{rot}^+(R_1, R_2)\psi_{nuc}^-(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2) = \psi_{pvr}^-, \quad (3)$$

$$\psi_{ortho} = \psi_{el}(r)\psi_{el}(\sigma)\psi_{osc}(R_1, R_2)\psi_{rot}^-(R_1, R_2)\psi_{nuc}^+(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2) = \psi_{pvr}^+. \quad (4)$$

Quantum numbers p are electronic, v – oscillative, r – rotative. Operator V_{ss} perturbs the states (3,4) without changing the symmetry of nuclear, spin and spatial states. It means, that V_{ss} doesn't provide the possibility of ortho–para transitions.

$$< \psi^\pm | V | \psi^- > = < \psi^- | V_{ss} | \psi^- > + < \psi_+ | V_{aa} | \psi^- > .$$

The perturbed wave function Φ of the ground (para) state of H_2 looks like

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{para} &= \psi_{000}^- + \phi^+, \\ \phi^+ &= \sum_{pvr} \frac{< \psi_{pvr}^+ | V_{aa} | \psi_{000}^- >}{E_{000}^- E_{pvr}^+} \psi_{pvr}^+. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Ground state wave function Ψ_{000}^- is not degenerated. On finding the perturbed wave functions Φ of excited states of molecule one should take into account the degeneration of the wave functions (3, 4) on spin of electrons, on projection of angular momentum of electrons on molecule axis and, for the ortho-states, on spin of nucleus.

The excited state wave function of molecule $\Phi(\vec{r}, \vec{\sigma}, \vec{R}, \vec{\Sigma})$ is antisymmetric relative the nuclei permutation. The difference between functions Φ and Ψ consists in the fact, that Ψ has the term of opposite symmetry on spatial and spin coordinates of nuclei. Therefore, the matrix elements

$$\int \Phi_{para}^* P \Phi_{ortho} d\tau, \quad \int \Phi_{ortho}^* P \Phi_{para} d\tau,$$

are not equal to zero due to the presence of terms of the same symmetry on spins of nuclei and coordinates permutation in Φ_{ortho} and Φ_{para} . Thus, the odd–odd part of magnetic interaction operator in molecule excites the symmetry of pure ortho– and para–states and ortho–para transitions becomes possible. The conclusion on ortho–para transitions prohibition breaking due to the interaction V_{aa} caused by non-additive dependence V_{aa} on spatial coordinates and spins of nuclei.

3. EXCITATION OF ORTHO– AND PARA–STATES IN MOLECULAR ION OF HYDROGEN.

The conclusion of the last paragraph on ortho–para transitions prohibition breaking due to odd–odd part of magnetic interaction in molecule remains true for molecular ion H_2^+ . On the other hand the example of hydrogen's molecular ion allow us to follow up the role of different magnetic interactions on calculating a perturbed wave functions.

The matrix elements for perturbed ground para–state of molecular ion Φ_{para} take the form

$$< \psi_{pvr}^+ | V_{aa} | \psi_{000}^- > .$$

As against the case of molecule H_2 , the unperturbed states of H_2^+ have double degeneracy on spin of electron $s = \frac{1}{2}$. Formula (5) will be true if we put the electron spin projection into the set of quantum numbers.

The direct interaction between magnetic momenta of nuclei in V_{n-n} and magnetic interaction between electron and nuclei in V_{e-n} give a contribution to the operator V_{aa} .

The first one is proportional to m_p^{-2} (m_p is the mass of proton). The second one is proportional to m_p^{-1} . It will be seen later, that in the case of H_2^+ ion the contribution of the first term is small and may be neglected on calculating the perturbed wave functions.

The energy operator of electron–electron magnetic interaction can be found from Dirac’s equation for electron in Pauli form [4]

$$(V_{e-n})_{magn} = \frac{ec}{E + E_0 + e\varphi} \left[h \left(\vec{\mathbf{H}} \vec{\sigma} \right) + \left(\vec{p} \vec{\mathbf{A}} \right) + \left(\vec{\mathbf{A}} \vec{p} \right) \right] + \frac{e^2 hc(-i)}{(E + E_0 + e\varphi)^2} \left(\vec{\sigma} \vec{\mathbf{E}} \right) \left(\vec{\sigma} \vec{\mathbf{A}} \right). \quad (6)$$

The terms proportional to $\mathbf{A}^2$ are neglected here; $E + E_0 = 2mc^2 + \omega$, ω is nonrelativistic part of electron energy; $\vec{\sigma}$ is the electron spin operator; $\vec{p}$ is the electron momentum operator.

If we consider, that the velocity of nucleus is much smaller then the velocity of electron, we can neglect the influence of molecular ion rotation on electron movement. Then, the field generated by protons in coordinate set connected with rotating nuclei can be written in the form

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vec{\mathbf{A}} &= \frac{[\vec{\mu}_1 \vec{r}_1]}{r_1^3} + \frac{[\vec{\mu}_2 \vec{r}_2]}{r_2^3}, \quad \varphi = \frac{e}{r_1} + \frac{e}{r_2}, \\ \vec{\mathbf{E}} &= \frac{e\vec{r}_1}{r_1^3} + \frac{e\vec{r}_2}{r_2^3}, \\ \vec{\mathbf{H}} &= rot \vec{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{3\vec{r}_1(\vec{\mu}_1 \vec{r}_1)}{r_1^5} - \frac{\vec{\mu}_1}{r_1^3} + \frac{3\vec{r}_2(\vec{\mu}_2 \vec{r}_2)}{r_2^5} - \frac{\vec{\mu}_2}{r_2^3}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

$\vec{r}_1 = \vec{r} - \vec{R}_1$, $\vec{r}_2 = \vec{r} - \vec{R}_2$, $\vec{r}$ is the coordinate of electron; $\vec{R}_1, \vec{R}_2$ are the coordinates of nuclei; $\vec{\mu}_1, \vec{\mu}_2$ are the magnetic momenta of nuclei. The coordinate set is placed in a half of the distance between nuclei.

Putting (7) into (6) one can write the part W of magnetic interaction operator between electron and protons $(V_{e-n})_{magn}$, which gives the contribution to V_{aa} in the form,

$$\begin{aligned} W &= a \left[\frac{(\vec{\Sigma}_1 [\vec{r}_1 \vec{p}])}{r_1^3} + \frac{(\vec{\Sigma}_2 [\vec{r}_2 \vec{p}])}{r_2^3} + \frac{h}{2} \left(3 \frac{(\vec{\Sigma}_1 \vec{r}_1)(\vec{r}_1 \vec{\sigma})}{r_1^5} - \frac{(\vec{\Sigma}_1 \vec{\sigma})}{r_1^3} + \right. \right. \\ &+ 3 \frac{(\vec{\Sigma}_2 \vec{r}_2)(\vec{r}_2 \vec{\sigma})}{r_2^5} - \left. \frac{(\vec{\Sigma}_2 \vec{\sigma})}{r_2^3} \right) \Big] + b \left[\frac{(\vec{\Sigma}_1 \vec{\sigma})}{r_1^4} - \frac{(\vec{r}_1 \vec{\Sigma}_1)(\vec{r}_1 \vec{\sigma})}{r_1^6} + \frac{(\vec{\Sigma}_2 \vec{\sigma})}{r_2^4} - \right. \\ &- 3 \frac{(\vec{\sigma} \vec{r}_2)(\vec{r}_2 \vec{\Sigma}_2)}{r_2^6} - \frac{1}{r_1^3 r_2^3} \left\{ (\vec{\sigma} \vec{r}_1)(\vec{r}_2 \vec{\Sigma}_1) + (\vec{\sigma} \vec{r}_2)(\vec{\Sigma}_2 \vec{r}_1) \right\} + \\ &\left. + \frac{i}{r_1^3 r_2^3} (\vec{\Sigma}_1 \vec{\Sigma}_2) [\vec{r}_2 \vec{r}_1] \right], \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

$$a = \frac{2ec\mu_p}{E + E_0 + e\varphi}, \quad b = \frac{e^3hc\mu_p}{(E + E_0 + e\varphi)^2}, \quad \vec{\mu}_1 = \mu_p \vec{\Sigma}_1, \quad \vec{\mu}_2 = \mu_p \vec{\Sigma}_2.$$

The terms placed inside the square brackets describe the interactions between spins of nuclei and spin of electron and between spins of nuclei and angular momentum of electron. To the present moment we consider the matrix elements for perturbed wave functions of ortho- and para-states without taking into account the influence of molecular ion rotation on ortho- and para-transitions. In particular, the approximation (1) for unperturbed wave function is an adiabatic approximation for the spatial wave function of electron $\psi_{el}(\vec{r})$. The coordinates of nuclei $\vec{R}_1, \vec{R}_2$ are contained in $\psi_{el}(\vec{r})$ as a parameters. In order to calculate the matrix elements over rotated states one should integrate it over the parameters $\vec{R}_1, \vec{R}_2$. The influence of nuclei rotation on electron motion and other rotated interactions can be taken into account by putting the corresponding terms into complete expression for ion interaction operator V .

It turns out, that nonadiabatic term in ion hamiltonian $\int \psi_{el} \Delta_n \psi_{el}$, which prevents the separation of electron-nuclei motion doesn't depend on spins of nuclei $\vec{\Sigma}_1, \vec{\Sigma}_2$ and therefore gives the contribution to V_{ss} but not to V_{aa} . So, the adiabatic approximation doesn't influence on the possibility of ortho-para transitions.

Having interested in interactions which influence on the possibility of ortho-para transitions and are proportional to m_p^{-1} , one can calculate magnetic interaction term in ion H_2^+ taking into account the rotations. For this purpose, one should define the potentials created by protons rotation in immovable coordinate set and put it into (6) adding to (6) a term from $-e\varphi$. One can use the formulas for electromagnetic field transformation on changing a coordinate set.

$$\begin{cases} \vec{E}' = \vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} [\vec{H} \vec{v}'], & \begin{cases} \vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c} \vec{v}' \varphi, \\ \varphi' = \varphi + \frac{1}{c} (\vec{v}' \vec{A}), \end{cases} & \vec{v}' = \frac{[\vec{J} \vec{r}]}{I}, \\ \vec{H}' = \vec{H} - \frac{1}{c} [\vec{E} \vec{v}'], & \end{cases}$$

I is the mechanical moment of molecular ion. Touched variables denote a field in immovable coordinate set and variables $\vec{H}, \vec{E}, \vec{A}, \varphi$ describe a field in a rotated one connected with the nuclei and have the form (7). $\vec{J}$ is the operator for rotated mechanical moment of nuclei. The last one commutates with operators without molecular ion rotation variables.

The interaction between electron and protons has the form

$$\begin{aligned} (V_{e-n})'_{magn} = & -\frac{e}{c} (\vec{v}_1' \vec{A}) + \frac{ec}{E + E_0 + e\varphi} [(\vec{p}' \vec{A}') + (\vec{A}' \vec{p}') + h(\vec{H}' \vec{\sigma}')] + \\ & + \frac{e^2hc(-i)}{(E + E_0 + e\varphi)^2} (\vec{\sigma}' \vec{A}') (\vec{\sigma}' \vec{E}') = -\frac{e}{cI} \left[\frac{(\vec{J} \vec{\mu}_1)(\vec{r}_1 \vec{r})}{r_1^3} - \frac{(\vec{J} \vec{r}_1)(\vec{\mu}_1 \vec{r})}{r_1^3} + \right. \\ & + \frac{(\vec{J} \vec{\mu}_2)(\vec{r}_2 \vec{r})}{r_2^3} - \frac{(\vec{J} \vec{\mu}_2)(\vec{\mu}_2 \vec{r})}{r_2^3} \left. + \right] + \frac{ec}{E + E_0 + e\varphi} [h(\vec{H} \vec{\sigma}') + (\vec{p}' \vec{A}) + (\vec{A} \vec{p}') + \\ & + \frac{1}{cI} (\vec{J} [\vec{p}' \vec{r}]) \varphi + \frac{h}{cI} \{ (\vec{J} \vec{E}) (\vec{\sigma}' \vec{r}) - (\vec{J} \vec{\sigma}') (\vec{E}' \vec{r}) \}] + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{e^2 hc(-i)}{(E + E_0 + e\varphi)^2} \left[(\vec{\sigma}' \cdot \vec{E}) (\vec{\sigma}' \cdot \vec{A}) + \frac{\varphi}{cI} (\vec{\sigma}' \cdot \vec{E}) (\vec{\sigma}' \cdot [\vec{J} \vec{r}]) + \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{(\vec{\sigma}' \cdot \vec{A})}{cI} \{ (\vec{\sigma}' \cdot \vec{J}) (\vec{H} \cdot \vec{r}) - (\vec{H} \cdot \vec{J}) (\vec{\sigma}' \cdot \vec{r}) \} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The ortho–para transitions prohibition breaking is provided by the odd–odd part of operator $(V_{e-n})_{magn}$. One can see from $(V_{e-n})_{magn}$, that obtained by taking into account the rotations additional to (6) terms, which gives the contribution to W' , are inversely proportional to m_p^2 .

Therefore, provided by rotations the electron–nuclei interaction, which causes the ortho–para transitions, is proportional to m_p^{-2} . It is clear, that the direct magnetic interaction of protons has the same behaviour. Thus, one can think, that the rotations neglecting in interaction operator V_{aa} gives a mistake of the same order as V_{n-n} neglecting.

At last, spin–angular interaction of electrons is contained in operator V_{ss} and doesn't influence on the possibility of ortho–para transitions. It being known, that the contribution of spin–angular interaction to V_{ss} is insignificant in comparison with one provided by rotation. The reason is, that in light molecules, as usual, we have Hund's b-type connection. In this case, the influence of rotation on electron motion is stronger than the spin–angular interaction.

In the case of molecular ion of hydrogen one can estimate the weight coefficients if we add the wave functions of opposite symmetry to the unperturbed wave functions of ortho– and para–states.

The correction to the wave function of unperturbed para–state ψ_{000}^- due to magnetic interaction between electron and nuclei (8) in the second order of perturbation theory has the form

$$\varphi^+ = \sum_{pvr} \frac{\langle \psi_{pvr}^+ | W_{aa} | \psi_{000}^- \rangle}{E_{000}^- - E_{pvr}^+} \psi_{pvr}^+,$$

$$W_{aa} = \frac{1}{4} [W(R_1 R_2 \Sigma_1 \Sigma_2) - W(R_2 R_1 \Sigma_1 \Sigma_2) - W(R_1 R_2 \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1) + W(R_2 R_1 \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1)].$$

In the matrix elements of the sum the production of coordinate wave functions of electron contains the coordinates of nuclei as a parameters. At that, in diagonal on electron state matrix element the production of electron wave functions is even function relative on permutations of spatial coordinates of nuclei, whereas the operator W_{aa} is odd function on definition. Therefore, the matrix element diagonal on ground electron state is equal to zero in spite of uncompensated spin of electron in molecular ion and not equal to zero average quantity of the interaction operator W between nuclei spins and spin of electron on the ground state. Thus, the main contribution to the wave function correction has the form

$$\varphi^+ \sim \frac{\langle \psi_{101}^+ | W_{aa} | \psi_{000}^- \rangle}{\Delta E} \psi_{101}^+.$$

At that, ΔE is the difference in energy between electron states, and $\Delta E = 10eV$. The perturbation operator (8) has an order of hyperfine structure in hydrogen atom and cor-

responds to the frequency of 1400MHz. Therefore,

$$\langle \psi_{001}^+ | W_{aa} | \psi_{000}^- \rangle \sim h1400 \approx 10^{-6} eV, \quad \varphi^+ \sim 10^{-7} \psi_{101}^+.$$

Thus, for the correction to the ortho-state wave function we have

$$\varphi^- \sim 10^{-7} \psi_{100}^-.$$

The direct dipole-dipole interaction of nuclei V_{n-n} is proportional to m_p^{-2} and the interaction constant for hydrogen molecule is approximately equal to 57 kHz. Then, the direct nuclear interaction in hydrogen molecular ion should be the same on value, that is much smaller, than the hyperfine interaction constant between electron and nuclei. Thus, on calculating the ortho- and para-state wave function corrections we can neglect the interaction V_{n-n} in comparison with V_{e-n} in the expression for V_{aa} .

So, one can calculate the perturbed wave functions for spontaneous ortho-para transitions in ion H_2^+ dealing with electron-nuclei interaction operator W_{aa} , which is proportional to m_p^{-1} and antisymmetrical relative to the permutations of spatial or spin coordinates. At that, we don't take into account an interactions bilinear on nuclear spin and a distortion of wave functions in zero approximation order due to the operator V_{ss} , which doesn't provide the ortho-para transitions prohibition breaking.

Summary

Spontaneous nuclear ortho-para transitions are shown to be possible in hydrogen molecule and molecular ion as due to hyperfine interaction odd-odd relative to the space or spin nuclear coordinate permutations.

A part of this interaction inversely proportional to the first power of nuclear mass is found for hydrogen molecular ion.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Prof. P. P. Pavinsky for the subject of the work and help.

References

- [1] K. F. Bonhoeffer, P. Harteck, Zs. f. Phys. Chem., **B4**, 113, 1929.
- [2] A. Farcas, Ortho- para- and heavy hydrogen., Moscow, ONTI, 1936.
- [3] K. Motizuki, T. Nagamiya, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, **11**, N2, 93, 1956.
- [4] H. Bethe, Quantum mechanics of simplest systems, Moscow-Leningrad, ONTI, 1935.